

1.
 - a. What is the difference between the MVP and the POC?
 - b. Would you entertain proposals for one phase of the work-for example, design work through to an initial POC with the intent for further phases to be managed by a separate offeree?
 - a. This is discussed in Page 21 - 22 of the RFP.
 - b. We will only entertain full responses

2.
 - a. Are you able to provide some degree of prioritization of the requirements relative to each other for a phased approach?
 - b. Does the minimum viable product or proof of concept need to meet all of the business and technical requirements listed in the Requirements Documents or merely a subset of the requirements?
 - c. Given that the contract is scoped as a firm fixed-price contract, is there any flexibility on the schedule for delivery of key features within a phased approach or any process to negotiate the feasibility and timeline for delivery of key features?
 - a. All aspects of the RFP are considered the Minimum Viable Product. However, we would consider a phased development approach where the supply planning module is built out first.
 - b. MVP needs to meet all requirements listed. (See updated requirements on May 1; removal of non key requirements)
 - c. The offeror must provide a project plan with timelines with deliverables. In case of multiple options, please ensure these are reflected in the project plan.

3.
 - a. Could you clarify the task orders of the RFP; and how the budget for submission should adapt to this requirement.

This RFP will support the first task order. It is anticipated that there may be the possibility of a follow on task order for expanded requirements beyond what is necessary for the MVP.

4. Should the MVP be run as a pilot?

We would consider this in the proposal.

5. Should the offline functionality include a desktop version?

The tool is not intended to have a separate desktop version. We are looking for a web based tool that can handle connectivity outages.

6. Could you specify the budget, as the RFP discusses an “illustrative” 2M budget?

We request budget proposals in response to the RFP, and anticipate that the budget will be in the range of the illustrative figures.

7. Will you share the source code for the legacy tools?

Interested parties may download the legacy tools via the responses to the first round of questions. You will be able to understand the structure/coding after download, as they are Microsoft Access based.

8. Would the additional tools listed in the RFP Annexes be in scope, such as ForLab?

No. The primary solution requirements are discussed in the RFP; and the tool could be considered a modernization and combination of Quantimed and Pipeline. There are specific required additions listed on page 10 (listed a to j).

9. Could you discuss the anticipated number of users. For example, in first round of question, for question #5 - could we infer the number of users by multiplying countries times health programs times users per program?

Potentially ; but there is no precise figure on the number of users that will be required.

10. Could you discuss the deployment of the solution in country on a local server; and where data should be stored?

The data will be cloud based for now, but there may be requirements by particular countries later to add local data storage. That is not within the scope of this RFP.

11. Could you specify the purposes of the requirements for financial reporting by vendors?

The financial reporting requirements for this RFP are aligned with the financial reporting requirements in all Federal Acquisition (FAR) regulations.

12. Should donor supply planning be considered part of the requirements/

The solution should consider country planning functions. Donor and global planning is out of scope. (See updated requirements).

13. What are the dates of the RFP?

The illustrative period of performance is June 2019 through February 2020. The actual period of performance would be worked out at the time of award to the successful offeror.

14. Should the road map consider details for both phases?

Yes, the submitted roadmap should cover both phases.